

# EXCEPTIONAL HOLONOMY ON VECTOR BUNDLES WITH TWO-DIMENSIONAL FIBERS

FRANK REIDEGELD

ABSTRACT. An  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure on a 6-dimensional manifold  $N^6$  can be defined as a pair of a 2-form  $\omega$  and a 3-form  $\rho$ . We prove that any analytic  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure on  $N^6$  with  $d\omega \wedge \omega = 0$  can be extended to a parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$ -structure  $\Phi$  that is defined on the trivial disc bundle  $N^6 \times B_\epsilon(0)$  for a sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Furthermore, we show by an example that  $\Phi$  is not uniquely determined by  $(\omega, \rho)$  and discuss if our result can be generalized to non-trivial bundles.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. $G$ -structures	2
3. Hitchin's flow equation	7
4. Proof of the main theorem	8
5. An example	11
6. Outlook	13
References	15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In his article on stable forms, Hitchin [9] proposed a new method to construct manifolds with exceptional holonomy. The starting point of his construction is a 7-dimensional manifold  $M$  with a  $G_2$ -structure  $\phi$  that satisfies  $d*\phi = 0$ . We can take  $\phi$  as an initial value for a certain flow equation such that the solution of the initial value problem yields a parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ -structure on  $M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  for an  $\epsilon > 0$ . This idea can be generalized to the semi-Riemannian case where we obtain a parallel  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$ -structure [6].

Many of the known complete metrics with holonomy  $\text{Spin}(7)$  are not defined on a manifold of type  $M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  but on a disc bundle over a lower-dimensional manifold [1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 14]. The reason behind this is that

those metrics are of cohomogeneity one and that the cohomogeneity-one manifolds of this type are the only ones that admit complete metrics with holonomy  $\text{Spin}(7)$  [14].

Bielawski [3] proves another result that fits into this context. Let  $X$  be a real analytic Kähler manifold. We identify  $X$  with the zero section of its canonical bundle. The Kähler metric on  $X$  can be uniquely extended to a Ricci-flat Kähler metric on a neighborhood of  $X$  such that the  $U(1)$ -action on the bundle is isometric and Hamiltonian. We thus have extended the  $U(n)$ -structure on the base to an  $SU(n+1)$ -structure on the bundle.

Motivated by these facts, we attempt to construct parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structures on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -bundles. More precisely, let  $(\omega, \rho)$  be a pair of a 2-form and a 3-form on a 6-dimensional manifold  $N^6$  that defines an  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1,2)$ -structure. We search for conditions on  $(\omega, \rho)$  such that on  $N^6 \times B_\epsilon(0)$ , where  $B_\epsilon(0)$  is a ball of radius  $\epsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , there exists a parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure that extends in a suitable sense the  $G$ -structure  $(\omega, \rho)$ .

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 and 3 we give an introduction to the  $G$ -structures that we need and to Hitchin's flow equation. We set up our initial value problem and prove that it has a local solution in the following section. After that we show with help of an example that our solution can be non-unique. In the sixth section, we finally discuss if our result can be generalized to non-trivial bundles over 6-dimensional manifolds.

## 2. $G$ -STRUCTURES

**2.1.  $G$  is  $SU(3)$  or  $SU(1,2)$ .** In order to prove our theorem we have to introduce several  $G$ -structures. We start with  $G$ -structures on 6-dimensional manifolds and then proceed to the 7- and 8-dimensional case. A well written introduction to all of these  $G$ -structures can be found in Cortés et al. [6]. We use similar conventions as [6] and only recapitulate the facts that we need for our considerations. Although a  $G$ -structure is in general defined as a principal bundle, all  $G$ -structures in this section can be described with help of certain differential forms. Throughout this article we use the following convention.

**Convention 2.1.** Let  $(v_i)_{i \in I}$  be a basis of a vector space  $V$ . We denote its dual basis by  $(v^i)_{i \in I}$  and abbreviate  $v^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v^{i_k}$  by  $v^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ .

Let  $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,6}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . We define the 2-forms

$$(1) \quad \omega_{SU(3)} := e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \omega_{SU(1,2)} := -e^{12} - e^{34} + e^{56}.$$

Moreover, we introduce the canonical 3-form

$$(3) \quad \rho_{can.} := e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}.$$

The following lemma is proven in [6].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G \in \{SU(3), SU(1,2)\}$ . The subgroup of all  $A \in GL(6, \mathbb{R})$  that stabilize  $\omega_G$  and  $\rho_{can.}$  simultaneously is isomorphic to  $G$ .*

This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $G \in \{SU(3), SU(1,2)\}$ ,  $V$  be a 6-dimensional real vector space and  $(\omega, \rho)$  be a pair of a 2-form and a 3-form on  $V$ . If there exists a basis  $(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,6}$  of  $V$  such that with respect to this basis  $\omega$  can be identified with  $\omega_G$  and  $\rho$  with  $\rho_{can.}$ ,  $(\omega, \rho)$  is called a  $G$ -structure.

Hitchin [9] has introduced the notion of a stable form.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $V$  be a real or complex vector space and  $\beta \in \bigwedge^k V^*$  with  $k \in \{0, \dots, \dim V\}$  be a  $k$ -form.  $\beta$  is called *stable* if the  $GL(V)$ -orbit of  $\beta$  is an open subset of  $\bigwedge^k V^*$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(\omega, \rho)$  be a  $G$ -structure where  $G \in \{SU(3), SU(1,2)\}$ . In this situation,  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  are both stable forms.*

*Remark 2.6.* The stable forms are an open dense subset of  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{6*}$  and of  $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{6*}$ . There is exactly one open  $GL(6, \mathbb{R})$ -orbit in  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{6*}$  and two open orbits in  $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{6*}$ . One of them is the orbit of  $\rho_{can.}$ . The other one can be used to define the notion of an  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ -structure, which we will not consider in this article.

Let  $V$  be a 6-dimensional real vector space and  $\bigwedge_s^k V^*$  be the set of all stable  $k$ -forms on  $V$ . We can assign to any  $\rho \in \bigwedge_s^3 V^*$  a certain endomorphism  $J_\rho$  by a map

$$(4) \quad i : \bigwedge_s^3 V^* \rightarrow V \otimes V^*.$$

$i$  is a rational  $GL(6, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant map and is described in detail in [6].  $i(\rho_{can.})$  is the canonical complex structure on  $\mathbb{R}^6$  which maps  $e_{2i-1}$  to  $-e_{2i}$  and  $e_{2i}$  to  $e_{2i-1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . If  $(\omega, \rho)$  is an  $SU(3)$ - or an  $SU(1,2)$ -structure,  $J_\rho$  is a complex structure, too. With help of another map

$$(5) \quad j : \bigwedge_s^2 V^* \times \bigwedge_s^3 V^* \rightarrow S^2(V^*)$$

we can assign to  $(\omega, \rho)$  a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form.  $j$  is also a rational  $GL(6, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant map that is described explicitly in [6]. If  $(\omega, \rho)$  is an

- (1)  $SU(3)$ -structure,  $j(\omega, \rho)$  is a metric with signature  $(6, 0)$ . In particular,  $j(\omega_{SU(3)}, \rho_{can.})$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .
  - (2)  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure,  $j(\omega, \rho)$  is a metric with signature  $(2, 4)$ . In particular,
- $$(6) \quad j(\omega_{SU(1,2)}, \rho_{can.}) = -e^1 \otimes e^1 - e^2 \otimes e^2 - e^3 \otimes e^3 - e^4 \otimes e^4 + e^5 \otimes e^5 + e^6 \otimes e^6.$$

**Convention 2.7.** (1) We call  $J_\rho$  the *complex structure that is associated to  $\rho$*  or shortly the *associated complex structure*.  
 (2) We call  $j(\omega, \rho)$  the *metric that is associated to  $(\omega, \rho)$*  or shortly the *associated metric*. We denote it by  $g_6$ , since we will also work with metrics on 7- or 8-dimensional spaces.

We remark that the objects that we have defined are related by the formula

$$(7) \quad \omega(v, w) := g_6(v, J_\rho(w)).$$

We can decide if a pair  $(\omega, \rho)$  determines an  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure without referring to a special basis.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $V$  be a 6-dimensional real vector space and let  $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 V^*$  and  $\rho \in \bigwedge^3 V^*$  be stable. Moreover, let  $J_\rho$  and  $g_6$  be defined as above. We assume that  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  satisfy the equations*

- (1)  $\omega \wedge \rho = 0$ ,
- (2)  $J_\rho^* \rho \wedge \rho = \frac{2}{3} \omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega$ .

*If in this situation*

- (1)  $g_6$  has signature  $(6, 0)$  and  $J_\rho$  is a complex structure,  $(\omega, \rho)$  is an  $SU(3)$ -structure.
- (2)  $g_6$  has signature  $(2, 4)$  and  $J_\rho$  is a complex structure,  $(\omega, \rho)$  is an  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure.

**Remark 2.9.** (1) Since  $J_\rho^* \rho \wedge \rho$  and  $\frac{2}{3} \omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega$  are both 6-forms, the second condition from the theorem is a normalization of the pair  $(\omega, \rho)$ .

- (2) If  $(\omega, \rho)$  is a pair of stable forms satisfying  $\omega \wedge \rho = 0$  and  $J_\rho^* \rho \wedge \rho = \frac{2}{3} \omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega$  and it is not an  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure,  $J_\rho$  is a para-complex structure and  $(\omega, \rho)$  is an  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ -structure.

The reason for the above considerations is to define  $G$ -structures on manifolds.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $M$  be a 6-dimensional manifold,  $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 T^*M$ , and  $\rho \in \bigwedge^3 T^*M$ . Moreover, let  $G \in \{SU(3), SU(1, 2)\}$ .  $(\omega, \rho)$  is called a  $G$ -structure on  $M$  if for all  $p \in M$   $(\omega_p, \rho_p)$  is a  $G$ -structure on  $T_pM$ .

**Convention 2.11.** Since the endomorphism field  $J_\rho$  in general has torsion, we call it the *almost* complex structure on  $M$ .

**2.2.  $G$  is  $G_2$  or  $G_2^*$ .** With help of the concepts from the previous subsection we are able to define  $G_2$ - and  $G_2^*$ -structures.

**Definition and Lemma 2.12.** We supplement the basis  $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,6}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^6$  with  $e_7$  to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^7$ . The form

- (1)  $\phi_{G_2} := \omega_{SU(3)} \wedge e^7 + \rho_{can.}$  is stabilized by  $G_2$ .
- (2)  $\phi_{G_2^*} := \omega_{SU(1,2)} \wedge e^7 + \rho_{can.}$  is stabilized by  $G_2^*$ .

$G_2$  denotes the compact real form of the complex Lie group  $G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $G_2^*$  denotes the split real form. Let  $V$  be a 7-dimensional real vector space and  $\phi$  be a 3-form on  $V$ . If there exists a basis  $(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,7}$  of  $V$  such that with respect to  $(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,7}$

- (1)  $\phi$  can be identified with  $\phi_{G_2}$ ,  $\phi$  is called a  $G_2$ -structure.
- (2)  $\phi$  can be identified with  $\phi_{G_2^*}$ ,  $\phi$  is called a  $G_2^*$ -structure.

*Remark 2.13.* There are exactly two open orbits of the action of  $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{7*}$  [13, 15]. Their union is a dense subset of  $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{7*}$ . One orbit consists of all 3-forms that are stabilized by  $G_2$  and the other one consists of all 3-forms that are stabilized by  $G_2^*$ .

Any  $G_2$ - or  $G_2^*$ -structure on a vector space  $V$  determines a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form  $g_7$  and a volume form  $\text{vol}_7$ . As in the previous subsection, there are explicit rational  $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant maps  $\bigwedge_s^3 V^* \rightarrow S^2(V^*)$  and  $\bigwedge_s^3 V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^7 V^*$  that assign  $g_7$  and  $\text{vol}_7$  to  $\phi$ . The explicit definition of these maps can be found in [6]. The tensors  $\phi$ ,  $g_7$ , and  $\text{vol}_7$  are related by the formula

$$(8) \quad g_7(v, w) \text{vol}_7 = \frac{1}{6}(v \lrcorner \phi) \wedge (w \lrcorner \phi) \wedge \phi \quad \forall v, w \in V.$$

Analogously to Subsection 2.1, we have

**Lemma 2.14.** Let  $V$  be a 7-dimensional real vector space and  $\phi$  be a stable 3-form on  $V$ .

- (1) If  $\phi$  is a  $G_2$ -structure,  $g_7$  has signature  $(7, 0)$ . In particular,  $g_7$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^7$  if  $\phi$  coincides with  $\phi_{G_2}$ .
- (2) If  $\phi$  is a  $G_2^*$ -structure,  $g_7$  has signature  $(3, 4)$ . In particular,  $g_7 = g_6 + e^7 \otimes e^7$  if  $\phi$  coincides with  $\phi_{G_2^*}$ .

We can relate  $\text{vol}_7$  to the 3-forms on the 6-dimensional subspace  $\text{span}(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,6}$ .

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $\phi$  be a  $G_2$ - or  $G_2^*$ -structure on a vector space  $V$  and  $(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,7}$  be a basis of  $V$  with the properties from Definition and Lemma 2.12. On  $\text{span}(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,6}$  there exists a canonical  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1,2)$ -structure  $(\omega, \rho)$  and we have*

$$(9) \quad \text{vol}_7 = \frac{1}{4} J_\rho^* \rho \wedge \rho \wedge v^7.$$

In particular,  $\text{vol}_7$  is  $e^{1234567}$  if  $\phi$  is  $\phi_{G_2}$  or  $\phi_{G_2^*}$ .

$g_7$  and  $\text{vol}_7$  determine a Hodge-star operator  $*$  on  $\bigwedge^* V^*$ .

**Lemma 2.16.** *Let  $\phi$  be a  $G_2$ - or  $G_2^*$ -structure. The 4-form  $*\phi$  is stable and can be described as*

$$(10) \quad v^7 \wedge J_\rho^* \rho + \frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega.$$

**Convention 2.17.** We call  $g_7$  ( $\text{vol}_7$ ,  $*\phi$ ) the *metric (volume form, 4-form)* that is associated to  $\phi$ .

We define  $G_2$ - and  $G_2^*$ -structures on manifolds as in the previous subsection.

**Definition 2.18.** Let  $M$  be a 7-dimensional manifold and  $\phi \in \bigwedge^3 T^*M$ . Moreover, let  $G \in \{G_2, G_2^*\}$ .  $\phi$  is called a  $G$ -structure on  $M$  if for all  $p \in M$   $\phi_p$  is a  $G$ -structure on  $T_p M$ .

**2.3.  $G$  is  $\text{Spin}(7)$  or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ .** In this final subsection, we introduce  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - and  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structures.

**Definition and Lemma 2.19.** We supplement the basis  $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,7}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^7$  with  $e_8$  to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . The form

- (1)  $\Phi_{\text{Spin}(7)} := e^8 \wedge \phi_{G_2} + *\phi_{G_2}$  is stabilized by  $\text{Spin}(7)$ .
- (2)  $\Phi_{\text{Spin}_0(3,4)} := e^8 \wedge \phi_{G_2^*} + *\phi_{G_2^*}$  is stabilized by the identity component  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$  of  $\text{Spin}(3,4)$ .

Let  $V$  be an 8-dimensional real vector space and  $\Phi$  be a 4-form on  $V$ . If there exists a basis  $(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,8}$  of  $V$  such that with respect to  $(v_i)_{i=1,\dots,8}$

- (1)  $\Phi$  can be identified with  $\Phi_{\text{Spin}(7)}$ ,  $\Phi$  is called a *Spin(7)-structure*.
- (2)  $\Phi$  can be identified with  $\Phi_{\text{Spin}_0(3,4)}$ ,  $\Phi$  is called a *Spin<sub>0</sub>(3,4)-structure*.

Analogously to Subsection 2.1 and 2.2, any  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure determines a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form  $g_8$  and a volume form  $\text{vol}_8$ .  $\text{vol}_8$  is given by  $\frac{1}{14} \Phi \wedge \Phi$  and  $g_8$  satisfies a slightly more complicated relation as (8), which can be found in Karigiannis [12].

Unlike  $\omega$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\phi$ ,  $\Phi$  is not a stable form. Nevertheless, we have similar results as in the previous two subsections.

**Lemma 2.20.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure. In the first case  $g_8$  has signature  $(8,0)$  and in the second case it has signature  $(4,4)$ . In particular,  $g_8$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  if  $\Phi$  coincides with  $\Phi_{\text{Spin}(7)}$  and  $g_8 = g_7 + e^8 \otimes e^8$  if  $\Phi$  coincides with  $\Phi_{\text{Spin}_0(3,4)}$ . In both cases, we have*

$$(11) \quad \text{vol}_8 = \text{vol}_7 \wedge v^8.$$

**Convention 2.21.** As in the previous subsections, we call  $g_8$  the *associated metric* and  $\text{vol}_8$  the *associated volume form*.

*Remark 2.22.* (1)  $\Phi$  is self-dual with respect to  $g_8$  and  $\text{vol}_8$ .  
 (2) Any 4-form on an 8-dimensional real vector space that is stabilized by  $\text{Spin}(7)$  or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$  is a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure. However, there is no simple criterion like Theorem 2.8 that decides if a given 4-form is a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure.

The notion of a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or a  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure on an 8-dimensional manifold can be defined completely analogously to Definition 2.10 and 2.18.

### 3. HITCHIN'S FLOW EQUATION

One motivation to study  $G$ -structures is their relation to metrics with special holonomy.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $G \in \{\text{Spin}(7), \text{Spin}_0(3,4)\}$  and let  $\Phi$  be a  $G$ -structure on an 8-dimensional manifold.  $\Phi$  is called *torsion-free* if  $d\Phi = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be as above. The holonomy group of the metric that is associated to a torsion-free  $G$ -structure is a subgroup of  $G$ . Conversely, let  $(M, g)$  be a semi-Riemannian manifold whose holonomy is contained in  $G$ . Then there exists a torsion-free  $G$ -structure on  $M$  whose associated metric is  $g$ .*

*Proof.* See [8] for  $G = \text{Spin}(7)$  and [4] for  $G = \text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ . □

*Remark 3.3.* There are analogous results for  $G \in \{SU(3), SU(1,2), G_2, G_2^*\}$ .

We also need the following  $G$ -structures with torsion.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\phi$  be a  $G_2$ - or  $G_2^*$ -structure on a 7-dimensional manifold.  $\phi$  is called *cocalibrated* if  $d * \phi = 0$ .

Compact Riemannian manifolds with holonomy  $\text{Spin}(7)$  are hard to construct. However, many non-compact examples with cohomogeneity one are known [1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 14]. All of these metrics can be obtained by a method that was developed by Hitchin [9]. As in the previous section, our presentation of the issue is similar as in [6].

**Theorem 3.5.** (See [6, 9]) *Let  $N^7$  be a 7-dimensional manifold and  $U \subset N^7 \times \mathbb{R}$  be an open neighborhood of  $N^7 \times \{0\}$ . Furthermore, let  $G \in \{G_2, G_2^*\}$  and  $\phi$  be a cocalibrated  $G$ -structure on  $N^7$ . Finally, let  $\phi_t$  be a one-parameter family of 3-forms such that  $\phi_t$  is defined on  $U \cap (N^7 \times \{t\})$ . We assume that  $\phi_t$  is a solution of the initial value problem*

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} *7 \phi_t &= d_7 \phi_t \\ \phi_0 &= \phi \end{aligned}$$

*The index "7" emphasizes that we consider  $*$  and  $d$  as operators on  $U \cap (N^7 \times \{t\})$  instead of  $U$ . If  $U$  is sufficiently small,  $\phi_t$  is a  $G$ -structure for all  $t$  with  $U \cap (N^7 \times \{t\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, it is cocalibrated for all  $t$ . The 4-form*

$$(13) \quad \Phi := dt \wedge \phi_t + *7 \phi_t$$

*is a torsion-free  $\text{Spin}(7)$ -structure if  $G = G_2$  and a torsion-free  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$ -structure if  $G = G_2^*$ . Let  $g_8$  be the metric that is associated to  $\Phi$  and  $g_t$  be the metric on  $N^7 \times \{t\}$  that is associated to  $\phi_t$ . With this notation we have*

$$(14) \quad g_8 = g_t + dt^2.$$

*Remark 3.6.* (1) The equation  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} *7 \phi_t = d_7 \phi_t$  is called *Hitchin's flow equation*. Since  $*7$  depends non-linearly on  $\phi_t$ , it is a non-linear partial differential equation.

- (2) If  $N^7$  and  $\phi_0$  are real analytic, the system (12) has a unique maximal solution that is defined on an open neighborhood of  $N^7 \times \{0\}$  [6]. This is a consequence of the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem. We assume from now that all initial data are analytic.
- (3) If  $N^7$  is in addition compact, there exists a unique maximal open interval  $I$  with  $0 \in I$  such that the solution is defined on  $N^7 \times I$ .
- (4) Let  $f : N^7 \rightarrow N^7$  be a diffeomorphism,  $I$  an interval with  $0 \in I$ ,  $U = N^7 \times I$ , and  $\phi_t$  be a solution of Hitchin's flow equation on  $U$ . In this situation, the pull-back  $f^* \phi_t$  is also a solution with the initial value  $f^* \phi_0$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we consider a 6-dimensional manifold  $N^6$  that carries an  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure  $(\omega_0, \rho_0)$ . Our aim is to construct a parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$ -structure  $\Phi$  on a tubular neighborhood of the zero section of the trivial bundle  $N^6 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  such that the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $N^6$  is  $(\omega_0, \rho_0)$  in a suitable sense. More precisely, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small and



$$(15) \quad B_\epsilon(0) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + y^2 < \epsilon\}.$$

We denote  $N^6 \times \{0\} \subset N^6 \times B_\epsilon(0)$  shortly by  $N^6$ . On that submanifold we want to have

$$(16) \quad \Phi = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 + dx \wedge \rho_0 + dy \wedge J_{\rho_0}^* \rho_0 + dx \wedge dy \wedge \omega_0$$

or equivalently

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi \right) &= \omega_0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi - dy \wedge \omega_0 &= \rho_0 \end{aligned}$$

Our first step is to construct a  $G_2$ - or  $G_2^*$ -structure  $\phi$  on

$$V_\epsilon := N^6 \times \{(0, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y^2 < \epsilon\}$$

that satisfies

$$(18) \quad \phi = \rho + dy \wedge \omega \quad \text{and} \quad d * \phi = 0$$

for a  $y$ -dependent  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure  $(\omega, \rho)$  on  $N^6$ . Next, we insert  $\phi$  as initial condition into Hitchin's flow equation, where  $x$  plays the role of the coordinate  $t$  in Theorem 3.5. After that, we have finally found our  $\Phi$ . We describe how to construct the 3-form on  $V_\epsilon$ . The Hodge dual of  $\phi$  is

$$(19) \quad * \phi = \frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \omega + dy \wedge J_\rho^* \rho.$$

$\phi$  is thus cocalibrated if and only if

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \omega \right) \wedge \omega &= dJ_\rho^* \rho \\ d\omega \wedge \omega &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y$ . In the above equation,  $d$  denotes the exterior derivative on the 6-dimensional manifold  $N^6 \times \{(0, y)\}$ . We see that any choice of  $\rho$  satisfies the system (20). Since

$$(21) \quad (\omega \wedge \omega)_y = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 + 2 \int_0^y dJ_\rho^* \rho \, d\tilde{y}$$

and  $d^2 = 0$ ,  $d\omega \wedge \omega = 0$  is satisfied for all  $y$  if it is satisfied for  $y = 0$ . Of course,  $(\omega, \rho)$  shall be an  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure for all  $y \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Therefore, the system that  $(\omega, \rho)$  has to satisfy is in fact

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\omega\right) \wedge \omega &= dJ_\rho^* \rho \\ \omega \wedge \rho &= 0 \\ 2\omega^3 &= 3\rho \wedge J_\rho^* \rho \end{aligned}$$

If we take the derivative of the last two equations with respect to  $y$ , we obtain the following system of first order differential equations

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\omega\right) \wedge \omega &= dJ_\rho^* \rho \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\rho\right) \wedge \omega + \rho \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\omega\right) &= 0 \\ 3\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\rho\right) \wedge J_\rho^* \rho + 3\rho \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}J_\rho^* \rho\right) - 6\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\omega\right) \wedge \omega^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the initial conditions

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} d\omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 &= 0 \\ \omega_0 \wedge \rho_0 &= 0 \\ 2\omega_0^3 &= 3\rho_0 \wedge J_{\rho_0}^* \rho_0 \end{aligned}$$

Since all forms in a neighborhood of  $\omega_0$  or  $\rho_0$  are stable, any solution of (23) and (24) describes a  $G_2$ - or  $G_2^*$ -structure if  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small. Let  $z^1, \dots, z^6$  be coordinates on an open subset  $U \subset N^6$ . The system (23) consists of 22 equations for the 35 coefficient functions of  $\omega$  and  $\rho$ . It can be written as

$$(25) \quad F\left(\omega, \rho, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z^6}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z^6}, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}\right) = 0.$$

$\omega$  is up to the sign uniquely determined by  $\omega^2$  [6, 9]. The first equation of (23) thus fixes the value of  $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}$ . The second and third equation restrict  $\rho$  at each  $p \in U$  to a submanifold of  $\bigwedge^3 T_p^* U$  of codimension 7.  $(dF)_{(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y})}$  therefore has maximal rank. The metric that is associated to  $(\omega, \rho)$  induces a metric on  $\bigwedge^3 T_p^* U$ . We denote the orthogonal projection of a 3-form to the tangent space of the set of all  $\rho$  that satisfy  $\omega \wedge \rho = 0$  and  $2\omega^3 = 3\rho \wedge J_\rho^* \rho$  by  $\pi_\omega$ . We add the equation

$$(26) \quad \pi_\omega \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}\right) = 0$$

to (23) and obtain a system of type (25), where  $F$  is replaced by a  $\tilde{F}$  that satisfies

$$(27) \quad \text{rk}(d\tilde{F})_{(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t})} = 35.$$

With help of the implicit function theorem, the extended system can be rewritten to

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial y} &= F_1 \left( \omega, \rho, \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x^6}, \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x^6} \right) \\ \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial y} &= F_2 \left( \omega, \rho, \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x^6}, \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x^6} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $N^6$  is a real analytic manifold,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are analytic, too. As in [6], the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem guarantees that the extended system has a unique solution on an open neighbourhood of  $N^6 \subset N^6 \times \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, (23) has at least one solution on the same open set. If  $N^6$  is compact, the solution exists on  $V_\epsilon$  for a sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ . With help of Theorem 3.5, we are finally able to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $N^6$  be an analytic compact 6-manifold and let  $(\omega_0, \rho_0)$  be an analytic  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure with  $d\omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 = 0$  on  $N^6$ . Then, there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a parallel  $Spin(7)$ - or  $Spin_0(3, 4)$ -structure  $\Phi$  on  $N^6 \times B_\epsilon(0)$  such that on  $N^6 \times \{0\}$  we have*

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi &= \omega_0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi - dy \wedge \omega_0 &= \rho_0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are the standard coordinates on  $B_\epsilon(0)$ .

## 5. AN EXAMPLE

In this section, we show that the 4-form  $\Phi$  from Theorem 4.1 is not uniquely determined by the initial value  $(\omega_0, \rho_0)$ . Before we start, we define what we mean by uniqueness in this situation.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  be two  $Spin(7)$ - or  $Spin_0(3, 4)$ -structures on  $N^6 \times B_\epsilon(0)$  such that on  $N^6 \times \{0\}$  we have

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi_2 &=: \omega_0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi_1 - dy \wedge \omega_0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lrcorner \Phi_2 - dy \wedge \omega_0 \end{aligned}$$

We call  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  *equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism  $f$  of  $N^6 \times B_\epsilon(0)$  that is the identity on  $N^6 \times \{0\}$  and satisfies  $f^*\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$ . Analogously, let

$\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be  $G_2$ - or  $G_2^*$ -structures on  $N^6 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  such that on  $N^6 \times \{0\}$  we have

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \phi_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \phi_2 &=: \omega_0 \\ \phi_1 - dy \wedge \omega_0 &= \phi_2 - dy \wedge \omega_0 \end{aligned}$$

$\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are called *equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism of  $N^6 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  with the same properties as above.

We restrict ourselves to the Riemannian case. For our example,  $(\omega_0, \rho_0)$  shall be torsion-free. In other words,  $N^6$  together with the initial  $SU(3)$ -structure is a Calabi-Yau manifold. Our strategy is to construct a one-parameter family of  $G_2$ -structures  $\phi_\delta$  on  $N^6 \times S^1$  such that the standard coordinate  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  of  $S^1$  plays the role of  $y$ . After that, we consider Hitchin's flow equation with initial value  $\phi_\delta$  in order to obtain 4-forms  $\Phi_\delta$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a closed 3-form on  $N^6$ . We define a  $G_2$ -structure  $\phi_\delta$  on  $N^6 \times S^1$  by

$$(32) \quad \phi_\delta = \omega_0 \wedge d\theta - J_{\rho_0}^* \rho_0 + \delta \cdot \sin \theta \cdot *_6 \alpha ,$$

where  $*_6$  is the Hodge-star on  $N^6$ . We have

$$(33) \quad * \phi_\delta = d\theta \wedge (\rho_0 + \delta \cdot \sin \theta \cdot \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 .$$

Since  $\phi_0$  is a  $G_2$ -structure,  $\phi_\delta$  is also a  $G_2$ -structure if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. Moreover,  $\phi_\delta$  is cocalibrated and at  $\theta = 0$  each term of (31) is independent of  $\delta$ . Let  $g_6$  be the metric on  $N^6$  that is associated to  $(\omega_0, \rho_0)$  and  $g_{8,\delta}$  be the metric on  $N^6 \times S^1 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  that is associated to  $\Phi_\delta$ . Since  $\phi_0$  and  $\Phi_0$  are both torsion-free, we have  $g_{8,0} = g_6 + d\theta^2 + dx^2$  and the second fundamental form  $II$  of  $N^6 \times \{(0,0)\}$  vanishes. If we find an  $\alpha$  such that  $II \neq 0$ ,  $\Phi_0$  and  $\Phi_\delta$  are non-equivalent.

Let  $X$  be a unit vector field on  $N^6$ .  $X$  can be lifted to a vector field on the product  $N^6 \times S^1 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Outside of  $N^6 \times \{(0,0)\}$ ,  $X$  is in general not a unit vector field anymore. For all  $\alpha$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  is a unit normal field of  $N^6 \times \{(0,0)\}$ . Since  $[X, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}] = 0$ , we have on  $N^6 \times \{(0,0)\}$

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} g(II(X, X), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) &= g(\nabla_X X, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Xg(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) + Xg(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, X) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(X, X)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(X, X) . \end{aligned}$$

Since we can prescribe the value of a closed 3-form at a fixed point arbitrarily, there exists an  $\alpha$  such that the last term of the above equation does not

vanish globally if  $\delta > 0$ . We thus have proven that  $\Phi_0$  and  $\Phi_\delta$  are non-equivalent, although they share the same initial values.

## 6. OUTLOOK

Let  $N^6$  be a 6-dimensional manifold and  $M^8$  be an arbitrary  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -bundle over  $N^6$ . For reasons of brevity, we denote the zero section of  $M^8$  also by  $N^6$ . We check under which conditions  $M^8$  admits a not necessarily parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$ -structure  $\Phi$ .

First, we assume that a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ -structure  $\Phi$  exists on  $M^8$ . Let  $\pi : M^8 \rightarrow N^6$  be the projection map and  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  with  $U \subset N^6$  be the image of a local trivialization. Moreover, let  $e_x$  and  $e_y$  be orthonormal vertical vector fields on  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  and  $(e^x, e^y)$  be the duals of  $(e_x, e_y)$  with respect to the metric. If we replace in equation (17)  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$  by  $(e_x, e_y)$  and  $dy$  by  $e^y$ , we obtain an  $SU(3)$ -structure  $(\omega, \rho)$  on  $U$ . However, the  $SU(3)$ -structure can in general not be extended to all of  $N^6$ , since the bundle may not admit two global linearly independent sections.

$\text{Spin}(7)$  acts transitively on the set of all oriented 6-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . The subgroup that fixes a subspace is isomorphic to  $U(3)$ . Therefore, any 6-dimensional oriented submanifold of a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ -manifold carries a canonical  $U(3)$ -structure and this is the most natural kind of geometry to suppose on  $N^6$ . In terms of tensor fields, a  $U(3)$ -structure is defined by a non-degenerate 2-form  $\omega$ , a Riemannian metric  $g$  and an almost complex structure  $J$  such that  $\omega(X, Y) = g(X, J(Y))$  for all vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . In our situation, the  $U(3)$ -structure is determined by  $\omega := e_y \lrcorner e_x \lrcorner \Phi$  and the restriction of the associated metric to the tangent space of  $N^6$ . Our definition of  $\omega$  is independent of the choice of  $(e_x, e_y)$  and  $\omega$  is thus globally defined. The  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$ -case is completely analogous, since  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)/U(1, 2)$  is the Grassmannian of all positive oriented planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ .

We return to the local situation. The restriction of the 4-form to the subset  $U$  of the zero section can be written as

$$(35) \quad \Phi = \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega + e^x \wedge \rho + e^y \wedge J_\rho^* \rho + e^x \wedge e^y \wedge \omega.$$

We choose another  $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{U})$  and vertical vector fields  $\tilde{e}_x$  and  $\tilde{e}_y$  on  $\tilde{U}$  with the same properties as above. Moreover, we assume that  $U \cap \tilde{U} \neq \emptyset$ . On  $\tilde{U}$  we have

$$(36) \quad \Phi = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\omega} \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{e}^x \wedge \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{e}^y \wedge J_{\tilde{\rho}}^* \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{e}^x \wedge \tilde{e}^y \wedge \tilde{\omega}$$

for another  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1, 2)$ -structure  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\rho})$ . On the intersection  $\pi^{-1}(U \cap \tilde{U})$  we have

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_x &= \cos \theta e_x + \sin \theta e_y \\ \tilde{e}_y &= -\sin \theta e_x + \cos \theta e_y \end{aligned}$$

for a function  $\theta : U \cap \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Both terms for  $\Phi$  coincide only if

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho} &= \cos \theta \rho + \sin \theta J_\rho^* \rho \\ J_\rho^* \tilde{\rho} &= -\sin \theta \rho + \cos \theta J_\rho^* \rho \end{aligned}$$

The transition functions for the bundle  $M^8$  thus have to be transition functions for the bundle  $\bigwedge^{3,0} T^* N^6$ , too. In other words,  $M^8$  has to be isomorphic to the canonical bundle of  $N^6$  with respect to the almost complex structure  $J$ .

Conversely, we assume that  $M^8$  is isomorphic to  $\bigwedge^{3,0} T^* N^6$  and that  $N^6$  carries a  $U(3)$ - or  $U(1,2)$ -structure  $(\omega, g, J)$ . We choose local trivializations  $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  such that the transition functions have values in  $SO(2)$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the standard coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . There exist unique one-forms  $e^1$  and  $e^2$  such that  $\varphi_\alpha^*(e^1) = dx$  and  $\varphi_\alpha^*(e^2) = dy$ . If the  $U_\alpha$  are sufficiently small, there exists a  $(3,0)$ -form  $\rho$  on  $U_\alpha$  such that  $(\omega, \rho)$  is an  $SU(3)$ - or  $SU(1,2)$ -structure whose associated metric and almost complex structure coincide with  $g$  and  $J$ . Any other  $(3,0)$ -form with the same properties as  $\rho$  can be written as

$$(39) \quad \cos \theta_\alpha \rho + \sin \theta_\alpha J^* \rho$$

for a function  $\theta_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We define a 4-form

$$(40) \quad \Phi = \frac{1}{2} \pi^* \omega \wedge \pi^* \omega + e^1 \wedge \pi^* \rho + e^2 \wedge \pi^* J^* \rho + e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge \pi^* \omega$$

on  $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ .  $\Phi$  is a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure. By a similar argument as above, we can prove that  $\Phi$  is globally defined. The above observations yield the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $M^8$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -bundle over a manifold  $N^6$  that admits a  $U(3)$ - or  $U(1,2)$ -structure  $(\omega, g, J)$ .  $M^8$  admits a  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure if and only if  $M^8$  is isomorphic to the canonical bundle of  $N^6$ .*

We therefore propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.2.** *Let  $N^6$  be a 6-dimensional manifold with a  $U(3)$ - or  $U(1,2)$ -structure  $(\omega, g, J)$  that satisfies  $d\omega \wedge \omega = 0$ . Then there exists a parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3,4)$ -structure  $\Phi$  on a tubular neighborhood of the zero section of the canonical bundle of  $N^6$  such that*

- (1) *the restriction of the associated metric to  $N^6$  coincides with  $g$  and*

- (2)  $e_y \lrcorner (e_x \lrcorner \Phi) = \omega$  for any two orthonormal vertical vector fields  $e_x$  and  $e_y$  along  $N^6$ .

We finally remark that unlike in [3] we cannot make  $\Phi$  unique by assuming that the standard  $U(1)$ -action on the canonical bundle leaves  $\Phi$  invariant. Any  $U(1)$ -action that acts as the identity on the base has a differential of type  $A_\theta := \text{diag}(e^{i\theta}, 1, 1, 1)$ . The fact that this matrix commutes with  $SU(4)$  allows the existence of a  $U(1)$ -invariant  $SU(4)$ -structure on the bundle. It is essential for the construction of Bielwaski [3] that this works in any complex dimension. Unfortunately,  $A_\theta$  does not commute with  $\text{Spin}(7)$  or  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$  if we interpret it as a real  $8 \times 8$ -matrix. Therefore, the  $U(3)$ - or  $U(1, 2)$ -structure can in general not be extended to a  $U(1)$ -invariant parallel  $\text{Spin}(7)$ - or  $\text{Spin}_0(3, 4)$ -structure.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bazaikin, Ya.V.: On the new examples of complete noncompact  $\text{Spin}(7)$ -holonomy metrics. Sib. Math. J. 48, No.1, 8-25 (2007).
- [2] Bazaikin, Ya V.; Malkovich, E.G.:  $\text{Spin}(7)$ -structures on complex linear bundles and explicit Riemannian metrics with holonomy group  $SU(4)$ . Sb. Math. 202, No. 4, 467-493 (2011).
- [3] Bielawski, Roger: Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on canonical bundles. Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 132, 471 - 479 (2002).
- [4] Bryant, Robert: Metrics with exceptional holonomy. Ann. of Math. 126, 525-576 (1987).
- [5] Bryant, Robert; Salamon, Simon: On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy. Duke Mathematical Journal 58, 829-850 (1989).
- [6] Cortés, V.; Leistner, T.; Schäfer, L.; Schulte-Hengesbach, F.: Half-flat Structures and Special Holonomy. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 102, No. 1, 113-158 (2011).
- [7] Cvetič, M.; Gibbons, G.W.; Lü, H.; Pope, C.N.: Cohomogeneity one manifolds of  $\text{Spin}(7)$  and  $G_2$  holonomy. Ann. Phys. 300 No.2, 139-184 (2002).
- [8] Fernández, Marisa: A classification of Riemannian manifolds with structure group  $\text{Spin}(7)$ . Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., IV. Ser. 143, 101-122 (1986).
- [9] Hitchin, Nigel: Stable forms and special metrics. In: Fernández, Marisa (editor) et al.: Global differential geometry: The mathematical legacy of Alfred Gray. Proceedings of the international congress on differential geometry held in memory of Professor Alfred Gray. Bilbao, Spain, September 18-23 2000. / AMS Contemporary Mathematical series 288, 70-89 (2001).
- [10] Kanno, Hiroaki; Yasui, Yukinori: On  $\text{Spin}(7)$  holonomy metric based on  $SU(3)/U(1)$  I. J. Geom. Phys. 43 No.4, 293-309 (2002).
- [11] Kanno, Hiroaki; Yasui, Yukinori: On  $\text{Spin}(7)$  holonomy metric based on  $SU(3)/U(1)$  II. J. Geom. Phys. 43 No.4, 310-326 (2002).
- [12] Karigiannis, Spiro: Deformations of  $G_2$  and  $\text{Spin}(7)$ -structures. Can. J. Math. 57 No. 5, 1012-1055 (2005).
- [13] Reichel, W.: Über die Trilinearen Alternierenden Formen in 6 und 7 Veränderlichen. Dissertation, Greifswald 1907.
- [14] Reidegeld, Frank: Exceptional holonomy and Einstein metrics constructed from Aloff-Wallach spaces. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 102, No. 6, 1127-1160 (2011).
- [15] Schouten, J.A.: Klassifizierung der alternierenden Größen dritten Grades in 7 Dimensionen. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 55, 137-156 (1931).

